FOR THE NO

3

1

2

4

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EMMA C., et al.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELAINE EASTIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. C96-4179 TEH

ORDER DIRECTING FILING OF JOINT SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF AND FOR CDE TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED

In an order dated August 30, 2012, the Court directed Defendant California Department of Education ("CDE") to respond, in a motion to be made on or before September 10, 2012, to the Monitor's analysis of an apparent conflict between Consent Decree § 13.0 and the final paragraph of the dispute resolution language proposed by both parties in the Fourth Joint Statement. CDE failed to comply with this part of the Court's order, and because CDE did not address the Monitor's analysis in its motion, Plaintiffs likewise did not address the analysis in their opposition to CDE's motion.

For the reasons stated above, and with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The parties shall file a joint supplemental brief setting forth their positions, agreed to or disputed, on the Monitor's analysis of the apparent conflict between Consent Decree § 13.0 and the final paragraph of the dispute resolution language proposed by both parties in the Fourth Joint Statement on or before October 24, 2012;

2.	CDE shall show cause, in a separate filing to be made on or before
	October 24, 2012, why sanctions should not be imposed for its failure to
	comply in full with the Court's August 30, 2012 order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10/18/2012 Dated:

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT